1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. CR20-164RSL 9 Plaintiff, 10 ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR EARLY v. 11 TERMINATION OF EARL WORTHY, 12 SUPERVISED RELEASE Defendant. 13 14 15 This matter comes before the Court on defendant's request for early termination of 16 defendant's supervised release. Dkt. # 6. 17 On May 9, 2016, defendant pled guilty to conspiracy to defraud the government, in 18 violation of 18 U.S.C. § 286; mail fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341; and aggravated identity theft, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1028A. Dkt. # 2-1. The U.S. District Court for the 19 20 District of Alaska sentenced defendant to 70 months in custody and three years of supervised 21 release. Dkt. # 2-2. This Court accepted jurisdiction over defendant on September 29, 2020. Dkt. 22 # 1. Defendant's supervision is set to expire on October 9, 2022. Dkt. # 6 at 2. 23 The Court "may, after considering the factors set forth in [18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)]... 24 terminate a term of supervised release and discharge the defendant released at any time after the expiration of one year of supervised release . . . if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by 25 26 the conduct of the defendant released and the interest of justice." 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e). The 27 Court enjoys "discretion to consider a wide range of circumstances when determining whether 28 ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR

TERMINATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE - 1

to grant early termination." United States v. Emmett, 749 F.3d 817, 819 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing United States v. Pregent, 190 F.3d 279, 283 (4th Cir. 1999)). The Court is pleased to learn that defendant is doing well under supervised release and commends defendant's efforts. Considering, however, defendant's lengthy criminal history and risk of reoffending, the Court finds that it would be premature to terminate supervised release. See 18 U.S.C. §§ 3583(e)(1), 3553(a). Accordingly, at this time, defendant's request for early termination of supervision (Dkt. # 6) is DENIED. The Court encourages defendant to be consistent with restitution payments and the other conditions of supervision, and the Court would consider a renewed request for early termination any time after October 9, 2021. Additionally, if defendant requests Court approval to visit Costa Rica for his wedding, the Court anticipates that it would endorse such a trip. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 27th day of April, 2021. United States District Judge 

ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR TERMINATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE - 2